

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

in re Ai	pplication of:)	
	- -	:	Examiner: M. Hodges
KEISU	KE YAMAMOTO ET AL.)	
		:	Group Art Unit: 2879
Appln.	No.: 09/513,117)	
• •		:	
Filed:	February 25, 2000)	
		:	
For: E	ELECTRON-EMITTING DEVICE,)	
E	ELECTRON SOURCE USING THE	:	
E	ELECTRON-EMITTING DEVICE,)	
A	AND IMAGE-FORMING APPARATUS	:	
J	JSING THE ELECTRON SOURCE)	September 2, 2004

MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Sir:

This Statement is filed in response to the Examiner's Interview Summary attached to the Notice of Allowance issued on August 25, 2004 in the above-identified application. The Examiner's Interview Summary summarized an interview conducted between the Examiner and Applicants' undersigned representative on August 18, 2004.

During the interview, it was agreed to cancel Claims 5, 7, and 8 without prejudice and without disclaimer of subject matter, and to amend Claim 10 so that it depends from Claim 6 or 9 only. The representative pointed out that Applicants do not

concede the propriety of the rejections outstanding at the time of the interview, notwithstanding these changes.¹

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our New York office by telephone at (212) 218-2100. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

Frank A. DeLycja

Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 42,476

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO 30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3801
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

NY_MAIN 450286v1

^{1/} It is noted that an Amendment After Final Rejection was submitted to the Patent and Trademark Office via facsimile on August 27, 2004. That Amendment set forth the same claim changes as those agreed to in the Interview. Accordingly, entry of the Amendment by the Examiner is believed unnecessary.